



A review on human-sloth bear conflicts in Gujarat: Identifying drivers and mitigation strategies

Dev Shah¹ and Nishith Dharaiya² *

¹Grade 12, American School of Bombay, Mumbai, India.

²WCB Research Foundation, Gujarat, India.

*Corresponding author: nadharaiya@gmail.com

Abstract

Human-sloth bear conflict is becoming an issue of concern in India, especially in the state of Gujarat. North and Central parts of Gujarat are considered to have the highest population and densities of sloth bears; previous research revealed that sloth bears are wandering outside the protected areas in close proximity to humans. Despite the growing numbers, the status of the sloth bear is still vulnerable due to the degradation of remaining sloth bear habitats and an increase in human-bear conflicts. As human populations increase and encroach into sloth bear habitats, higher incidences of bear attacks emerge. These conflicts can put human life and safety at risk, reduce tolerance towards bears and threaten their numbers, thereby diminishing conservation efforts. This paper aims to understand the nature of human-sloth bear conflicts in Gujarat, in the light of escalating encounters, by examining the major drivers of conflict, and assessing conflict prevention and mitigation strategies that focus on the protection and welfare of local communities as well as the conservation of the sloth bears and their habitat.

Key words Conflict; conservation; Gujarat; local community; vulnerable

Suggested Citation

Shah D., & Dharaiya N., 2023. A review on human-sloth bear conflicts in Gujarat: Identifying drivers and mitigation strategies, *Prithivya, An Official Newsletter of WCB Research Foundation and WCB Research Lab. Vol 3(2) 19-43.*

Introduction

One of eight bear species in the world, the Sloth bear (*Melursus ursinus*) is endemic to the Indian subcontinent. It is one among four found in India, the others being the Himalayan brown bear (*Ursus arctos isabellinus*), Asiatic black bear (*Ursus thibetanus*), and Sun bear (*Helarctos malayanus*), and the only one to inhabit the state of Gujarat. The sloth bear is found in diverse habitats - wet and dry tropical forests, savannah, scrubland, rocky outcrops and grasslands usually below an elevation of 1700 m (Garshelis et al,1999; Dharaiya 2009).

Unique among bear species for its feeding habits, the sloth bear is an opportunistic feeder. As a myrmecophagous species, it has a diet consisting primarily of termites and ants. Its physical characteristics have evolved to support its insectivorous diet: a keen sense of smell to sniff out ant colonies and termite mounds with sickle-like claws for digging and tearing them to the ground, a protrusible (extended) lower lip and broad palate (earning the name ‘labiated bear’), lack of upper incisors to allow it to suck up hundreds of termites, and self-closing nostrils to keep them out of its nose (Wildlife SOS,2020). It also consumes ground-layer vegetation, sweet and fleshy fruit, nuts, seeds, flowers and honey. On rare occasions, a sloth bear will scavenge on carrion. Predominantly crepuscular and nocturnal, these bears like to rest in caves and crevices of boulders or under shady trees and bushes during the day. Sometimes, females with cubs can be found searching for food even in the daytime. Sloth bears can climb trees to feed but prefer to stay on ground when confronted by a predator. Due to the warm climate, they reside in, unlike other bear species, these bears do not hibernate in the winter.

Vulnerable status

Historically, sloth bears have been the targets of human exploitation for centuries. Reported to be abundant during the mid -1800s, the sloth bear population declined severely between the late 1800s and mid 1950s due to habitat loss and hunting (Prater, 1948; Krishnan,1972). They were also captured and cruelly subjected to dancing and performing tricks by the impoverished nomadic Kalandar tribes. Taken from the wild, their teeth were smashed, a hole burnt through their snout with a hot metal rod and a rope threaded through it to make them ‘dance’ in agony. (Satyanarayan, 2022). Though banned in 1972, the inhumane practice was eradicated only in 2009. Despite conservation efforts, the sloth bear continues to be threatened. It is declared


vulnerable by the IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) and listed in Schedule 1 of the Indian Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 (Dharaiya et al, 2020).

Sloth bears are keystone species without which the ecosystem would be out of balance. They are pivotal to the health of the forest. As ecosystem engineers, they assist in the control of termite and ant populations and play an important role in seed dispersal for the regulation and regeneration of many plant species in the forest. There is a pressing need for the conservation of this bear species.

Geographical spread

The IUCN estimates that fewer than 20,000 sloth bears survive in the wild of the Indian subcontinent. Besides India, these bears are also native to Nepal and Sri Lanka. According to the IUCN Bear Specialist Group, they are now regionally extinct in Bangladesh and presumably in Bhutan too in the past decade (WWF India, n.d.), as well as extirpated in some areas in India (Krishnan,1972; Garshelis et al,1999). With a smaller presence in Nepal and Sri Lanka, India stands as the final stronghold for the species with 90% of its global population. According to several reports, the sloth bear population has dropped by 40-50% over the last three decades due to habitat loss and fragmentation, poaching and increasing human-bear conflict (Satyanarayan, 2022). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species predicts that sloth bear populations may continue declining, at an increasing rate, resulting in a >30% loss over the next 30 years. (Dharaiya et al, 2017). Unless urgent action is undertaken, a tragic fate looms before the sloth bears.

In India, 81% of its sloth bear population resides in 20 of the country's 29 states (Figure 1). Its range spans the Western Ghats in the south to the foothills of the Himalayas in the north, and the Aravalli hills of Rajasthan in the west to Assam's floodplains in the east. However, baseline information on their distribution and present status in India is lacking. The current distribution of sloth bears in India is better known within protected areas. They are reported to exist in 174 protected areas in India, which includes 46 National Parks and 128 Wildlife Sanctuaries (Chauhan, 2006).



In Gujarat, in 2011, the bear estimation was 293, increasing to 342 in 2016. According to the official figures from the 2022 census of the forest department, about 358 sloth bears inhabit the state. Here, sloth bears are reported in five protected areas viz. Shoolpaneshwar, Jambughoda, and Ratanmahal in Central Gujarat and Jassore and Balamam Ambaji Wildlife Sanctuaries in North Gujarat (Figure 2). These areas of our study mark the westernmost edge of the bear’s distribution range with its population patchily distributed in protected and non-protected forests.

Figure 1: Sloth bear distribution in India. Source: Dharaiya et al. (2017)




Figure 2. Sloth bear habitats in Northern and Central Gujarat. Source: Dharaiya and Singh (2018)



Understanding Human-Sloth Bear Conflict (HBC)

Human-Wildlife conflict (HWC), i.e. interactions between people and wild animals with negative outcomes (Messmer, 2009) is increasing worldwide. As human populations rise, the resulting competition with wildlife for space and food resources poses a major challenge for man and animal (Singh et al, 2018). Anthropogenic activities have slowly caused humans to encroach into territories originally inhabited solely by wildlife, resulting in greater proximity than before and thus, interactions between the two (Singh et al, 2018).

Sloth bears and humans have been cohabitating for decades in the area of study. While sloth bears are considered aggressive and unpredictable, they avoid human contact whenever possible. As these bears spend most of their time actively foraging with their nose to the ground, they can often be taken by surprise, feel threatened and attacked. Across India, forest officials have reported a steady increase in human- sloth bear (HBC) conflict. Sloth bear attacks have increased by 30% in the last decade with many not reported by the Forest Department (Dharaiya, 2018). Though the Indian government doesn't tally up attacks at the federal level, it's fair to say from state data that the sloth bear is one of the deadliest animals in India, and is responsible for more human fatalities per capita than any other type of bear (Dickie, 2020). In fact, the number of sloth bear attacks annually rivals and may even exceed the total number of all bear attacks from the seven other extant bear species combined (Research Outreach, 2021). This has resulted in a negative perception of sloth bears and as a consequence, an increasing hostility towards them.

More than 300 sloth bear attacks have been recorded in the past 10 years in Gujarat (Smitha, 2018). In December 2021, a 42-year-old tribal woman from a village in Gujarat's Dahod district died in a sloth bear attack. The victim was grazing cattle when she was attacked by the bear (The Indian Express, 2021). In June 2022, three more were injured by sloth bear attacks at Jessore Sloth Bear Sanctuary in Banaskantha district of Gujarat which is considered a conflict-prone area (The Times of India, Ahmedabad, 2022). Two of them were tribal farmers; the last incident involved a temple priest. (The Times of India, Rajkot, 2022). The same month, four people including a teenage girl were injured after a sloth bear attacked them in Lavaria village of Devgadhi Baria taluka, Panchmahal district in Gujarat. It also injured an ox around the same time.



The area has a good growth of Indian jujube or *ber* fruits which the sloth bears eat and tribals collect as a minor forest product for consumption and sale in local markets. The bear may have come to the area to eat the fruit and had an accidental encounter with the humans it injured (The Times of India, Vadodara, 2022). Such deadly incidents are on the rise. In addition to human mortality or permanent physical injury that sometimes occurs, injuries inflicted to the head and face by sloth bears can result in social and economic hardship (Ratnayeke et al, 2014).

In India, flagship species like the tiger, elephant and rhino dominate the spotlight with conservation skewed in their favour. The sloth bear, on the other hand, has not benefited much from these projects. In 2012, the Indian government released a national Bear welfare and conservation action plan, but unfortunately it wasn't enforced. More recently, India has designated some areas specifically for the protection of sloth bears. Gujarat is home to two of the three Indian refuges dedicated solely to the bears' survival. New guidelines have also been formulated in 2023 by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) for human-bear conflict mitigation.

Sloth bear Behaviour

Understanding sloth bear behaviour helps us evaluate human-bear conflicts and propose solutions that can help curb attacks and protect both the bear and the people. Scientists offer various theories to explain sloth bear behavior. It has been noted that sloth bears have an “innate defensive-aggressive response to surprise (sudden) encounters” possibly due to having co-evolved with formidable predators like tigers and leopards, and thus unleash the same ferocity on humans (Gupta, 2022). Perhaps they choose fight over flight because, although their long claws are ideal for digging, they don't allow adult sloth bears to escape danger by climbing trees. Perhaps their violent toll on humans is greater because they don't bluff charge humans as much, but initiate a physical attack almost immediately (Gupta, 2022). Whatever the reason, studies have shown that attacks by sloth bears are a defensive response and not predatorial by nature.

Drivers of Conflict

Human-bear conflict (HBC) is a multifaceted challenge, and thus, an integrated and holistic approach is needed to find solutions for prevention and mitigation. The first step is to effectively

identify the drivers of conflict. A comprehensive understanding of why these conflicts occur will translate into formulating impactful conflict-mitigation strategies (Mesaria et al, 2021).

Sharing of resources

One of the major factors leading to HBC is their common dependency on forest products. This sharing of resources has led to frequent encounters between the two. People residing in and around sloth bear habitats rely mostly upon forests for their survival. They venture into the wild to collect firewood for fuel and non-timber forest products (NTFP) which typically consist of flowers, fruits, leaves, honey, mushrooms, as well as medicinal plants (Singh et al, 2018).

Non-timber forest products (NTFP) are extracted from trees like the golden shower tree (*Cassia fistula*), mahua (*Madhuca indica*), jujube (*Zizyphus jujuba*), date palm (*Phoenix sylvestris*), and flame of the forest (*Butea monosperma*) (Garcia et al, 2016). The fruits and flowers from many of these trees are preferred foods of the sloth bears too. Also found in the forest are *tendu* or *timru* leaves (as they are known in Gujarat) which are primarily used to wrap *beedis* (locally made cigarettes). They are a prominent source of seasonal income and employment for forest communities, enticing them to venture into the forest for their procurement. This puts the foragers in the path of the sloth bear. In addition, locals walk several kilometers into the dense thicket in order to graze their cattle. During livestock grazing or NTFP collection, people are usually alone or with just one other person, making them more susceptible to attacks. In short, unexpected confrontations are inevitable for those that rely on the forest to survive (Singh et al, 2018).

Scarcity of water

Extreme temperatures, owing to global warming, have become a common phenomenon worldwide. Gujarat is no exception; the state has experienced severe heat waves in recent years. In summer, water becomes a limited resource pushing thirsty sloth bears outside of their typical habitat. Human settlements in the vicinity of the bear's habitat are often the only water source available to animals. Studies have revealed regular sightings of sloth bears near water sources and villages after dark in summer (Sultana et al, 2015), with some reports indicating their presence within 500m of water sources irrespective of the season (Bargali et al, 2012). Furthermore, the number of attacks in Gujarat has increased over the past decade with more than

300 reported, primarily, in the hot dry summer (Malik et al, 2018). These patterns support the fact that water is a major factor in driving sloth bears into human settlements where conflicts can occur.

For instance, one of the most pressing concerns in Gujarat's Jessore wildlife sanctuary, containing the highest sloth bear density in the state, has been the lack of water. Villagers and farmers say the sloth bears are increasingly leaving the sanctuary in search of water. In June 2019, after the bears attacked four people, the local government replenished water holes with a tanker. But a long-lasting, natural solution to the bear's water woes needs to be found.

Habitat loss and degradation

A major threat to sloth bears and a direct cause of HBC is habitat degradation and fragmentation, mainly due to a burgeoning human population, altered land use patterns, and uncontrolled development (Garcia et al, 2016). Only about 10 percent of India's remaining forests are considered secure and suitable for sloth bears (Dickie, 2020). India's population has more than doubled since 1980; the country has become the world's most populous nation and is projected to reach 1.66 billion by 2050. Urbanization is encroaching into whatever precious wilderness remains. Mining activities near boulders and hillocks, which sloth bears like to inhabit, results in human infiltration leading to habitat loss and a threat to the bear population (Dharaiya, 2009). Anthropogenic pressures in the form of overgrazing cattle, excessive felling of trees, fire, change in land use, and an over-extraction of resources threatens the remaining sloth bear habitat. Particularly susceptible to this are the dry forests of Gujarat (Mesaria et al, 2021). Reduced forest cover and dwindling food resources, especially outside protected regions (Akhtar et al, 2004), have prompted sloth bears to forage for food and water elsewhere. Sloth bears are adaptive and have the ability to also thrive near human habitation making them vulnerable to anthropogenic risks (Gupta, 2022).

Sloth bear food resources are becoming scarcer in forests because of direct competition with villagers, prompting the bears to seek food outside (Rajpurohit and Krausman, 2000). There may also be a suppression of the indigenous food plants of bears due to the overabundance of invasive alien species in the area, resulting in decreased habitat quality and a consequent increase in the movements of bears out from forested landscapes into human-dominated ones. As a result

of habitat degradation, sloth bears have been reported foraging on cultivated crops near human habitation (Garshelis et al. 1999; Bargali et al. 2005, 2012), kitchen gardens of village houses (Bargali et al, 2012) and other human-dominated areas (Mesaria et al, 2021). For instance, the sweet flowers of the *Madhuca indica* (mahua) tree are sought by sloth bears for food (Garcia et al, 2016). Mahua is also popular amongst forest communities for alcohol production; its flowers are fermented for liquor. The strong scent of its residue, disposed outside people's homes, attracts bears (Garcia et al, 2016). Likewise, the bears are also drawn to jujube or *ber* fruits stored in the house (Dharaiya, 2009). Other attractants are the fruit trees planted near villages and agricultural fields. Edges of forests are commonly used by people for agricultural purposes giving hungry sloth bears opportunities to raid farm crops.

In addition, people leave fruit as religious offerings at temples that are ubiquitous in villages of India. As a consequence, sloth bears regularly visit temples adjacent to their habitats at night to feed on these offerings, increasing the chances of a confrontation.

In short, degradation of sloth bear habitat, reduced availability of natural food, and increased availability of anthropogenic foods broadly supports the finding of most attacks occurring outside protected areas.

Hostility and retaliatory killing

This brings us to another acute cause of HBC. Encounters of people with sloth bears have resulted in death, debilitating physical injuries, loss of property, crop damage and more (Singh et al, 2018). Local communities have become more wary, less tolerant of the species and hence prone to retaliatory killing. In many cases recorded by the WCB (Wildlife and Conservation Biology) Research Foundation, a behavioural pattern has been observed. When a sloth bear finds its way into a village, people come out in hoards to see it. These mobs then attempt to frighten the bears, either in self-defence or as a means of provocation. The bear's natural inclination is to attack, following which the people attempt to kill it. This cycle has become an imminent threat to the sloth bears with hostile mobs posing a great obstacle to forest department workers.

After a sloth bear attack, people feel they have little recourse. Attack victims are entitled to financial compensation from the state, but find the money difficult to obtain when they lack amenities like a bank account, or the literacy skills to file a report. To get retribution for bear

attacks, some people kill them. Villagers have stoned, electrocuted, and poisoned sloth bears that come close to settlements. (Dickie, 2020). Sometimes, deaths are never documented at all. Increased sloth bear attacks on humans in Gujarat have increased animosity towards bears, making their conservation a challenge (Garcia et al, 2016).

Lack of proper rescue knowledge

Among sloth bear attacks, 60% take place in non-protected areas and 30% occur around villages. In spite of there being conflict mitigation strategies in place, constraints like pressure from developmental undertakings, shortage of equipment and trained staff, lack of local awareness, and the absence of rapid response units, exacerbates the problem. Furthermore, there is a need for a bear rescue and conflict management team, as well as research and monitoring.

Strategies for Conflict Prevention and Mitigation

The marked increase in human injuries by sloth bears in Gujarat in recent years warrants urgent attention. Meaningful reduction of HBC, involving human and bear safety, will be best achieved through increased awareness of sloth bear behavior, safety education, evaluation of the factors facilitating attacks on humans and implementation of multiple mitigation strategies (Garcia et al, 2016).

Habitat management

Sloth bear corridors need to be identified and conserved. Close to 67% of sloth bear attacks have occurred outside protected areas. Attacks inside protected areas usually occur near their borders. Protected areas aid in reducing attacks through the spatial separation of people and bears. Bears use areas of human occupation less when forest area is available. The Central Gujarat landscape has been identified as containing important sloth bear corridors (Dharaiya, 2018; Singh et al, 2018) but the bears live here in close proximity with people. The identification of wildlife corridors between areas of suitable habitat, and subsequent reforestation of these areas could further reduce potential encounters and, ultimately, HBC (Garcia et al, 2016). This can also include mapping of land use by bears and humans in identified conflict areas and declaring the unprotected forest patches as critical bear habitats.

20% of the forest land out of the total forest cover present in Gujarat is designated as sloth bear landscape from which, the potential conflict zones, and corridors to connect the various habitats can be identified and conserved (Dharaiya and Singh, 2018).

Identifying conflict hotspots is also critical to providing site-specific solutions to mitigate HBC. 'HWC hotspots' are areas with actual or predicted repeated occurrence of HWC incidents that result in crop/ livestock loss and human/ wildlife death and injury over temporal and spatial scales. Incidents can be static (repeated in the same place or time) or dynamic (shift in space and time over years). Conflict hotspots of HBC can be mapped through geo-spatial assessments, using both primary and secondary data, including time-series data (MoEFCC, 2023). In addition, use of remote sensing data may be explored for mapping invasive plants' hotspots and for managing the spread of invasive species which are harmful to the indigenous food plants for the bears. Moreover, forest officials need to undertake the clearing of vistas along the boundaries of forests near human habitations for improving visibility and avoiding sudden and accidental encounters with bears.

Managing water scarcity

Poor access to water is driving bears towards human settlements. Addressing this scarcity could help reduce human-bear confrontations. GIS (Geographical Information System) and hydrological analysis can help identify areas where water could accumulate naturally and the containment structure can be built. By identifying such areas, water would be provided throughout the year for wildlife, removing the need for bears to leave the sanctuary in search of it. Such measures can help alleviate HBC (Malik, 2018).

Providing alternative resources/livelihood

One of the main issues leading to HBC is the large-scale dependency of fringe communities on the forest as a source of income. Devising an alternate livelihood which does not depend on the forest for cattle grazing or the collection of fodder, fuelwood and non-timber forest produce (NTFP) could help in preventing chance encounters and allowing the bear to roam freely in these areas. Livelihood needs of communities can be addressed through skill development, poverty alleviation and alternate income generation schemes of the government. Moreover, roping these communities into sloth bear conservation efforts, would give former perpetrators of the animal a stake in upholding their protection.

Eco-tourism can be beneficial in the creation of livelihoods for local communities through jobs such as eco-guides, drivers, service providers, lodge workers, etc. as a more long-term source of income than short term extraction of land resources. When local communities have a greater vested interest in keeping natural resources intact and healthy, it creates positive attitudes towards the sloth bear and its habitat. In addition, tourism can provide a compelling incentive for governments and organizations to institute environmental policies and conservation measures for sloth bear habitat and ecosystem restoration. National parks are a good source of revenue and while there are many tiger reserves in India, sloth bear sanctuaries too can be a good draw for visitors. It is vital that ecotourism maximises environmental and economic benefits while minimizing ecological damage and disruption to local communities.

Fulfilling basic needs of toilets, gas and waste management

Many villages do not have access to basic facilities like toilets and gas, forcing their inhabitants to venture into forest areas to defecate or gather fuel wood. In doing so, they encroach into sloth bear territories and are vulnerable to attack. Government schemes aimed at providing these amenities are of utmost importance. Examples of those that are currently being implemented include *Swachh Bharat Abhiyan*, which provides toilet facilities for every household, and *Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana*, which gives people in rural areas cooking gas cylinders at subsidised rates (Singh et al, 2018). However, some people still prefer to defecate openly due to water problems with toilets and collect fuel wood in the forests. Hence, emphasis must be placed, not only on providing facilities to people but encouraging their utilization through educational programs (Singh et al, 2018). The collaborative effort of local panchayats and state electricity, water and forest departments with the support of NGOs is needed for such initiatives.

Throwing of open garbage and food needs to be avoided as it attracts sloth bears. When garbage dumps are located on the periphery of forests or inside fringe villages, the potential for accidental encounters between people and bears increases. To avoid this, a common disposal point should be decided outside the village. Preferred food items of the sloth bear such as Mahua flower residue (for alcohol production) must be disposed far from the village while *Ziziphus* (jajube) or *ber* fruits should be properly stored or concealed (Dharaiya, 2009). Unmanaged garbage may also habituate bears to moving and foraging in human-dominated landscapes, resulting in high levels of conflict. Sustainable and ecological waste disposal management should be implemented. Periodic inspection by forest officials and relevant local authorities must be

undertaken. Volunteers can be engaged for such exercises. Community awareness, signage, etc is important to facilitate effective participation by locals in waste management.

Safety messaging

The use of safety messaging is considered an effective measure to reduce conflict between people and bears. In the unfortunate occurrence of a bear attack, safety messaging can help the person survive the encounter with the least amount of injury. This messaging can be dispensed through various audio-visuals such as pamphlets, booklets, videos and workshops for those living and working in and around sloth bear habitats.

All sloth bears, irrespective of location, have an innate defensive-aggressive response to surprise encounters but their behaviour is adapted to varying environments. Hence, it is necessary to recognize that the animal's response to human encounters can vary by region. Forming precautionary measures specific to the region is key to providing effective bear safety messaging for people living in the area (Gupta, 2022).

Safety messaging outlines steps to deescalate the situation in the event of a bear attack. While they should be regionally specific, they must be simple so that, during a bear encounter, the individual will remember what to do. Attempting to recall the details of an extended message, especially under duress, can be difficult, if not impossible. The messaging needs to be accurate and to the point. Rather than dispelling heavy information, a strategy of 'less is more' is essential for instant recall value (Wildlife SOS, 2020). Cautionary measures like being alert, avoiding going into dense forests alone or after dark and keeping noise levels up (to alert the bear of one's presence) are essential guidelines. In the event the bear attacks, one must display non-threatening behaviour by immediately dropping to the ground face down and covering the head and neck with a cloth or arms. Studies have shown that when people abide by this kind of safety etiquette, encounters with bears are less and human fatalities in bear attacks are lower (Mesaria et al, 2021).

Education/outreach for community awareness

With significant reliance on forests for income generation, a complete prohibition of entry into conflict-prone areas cannot sustain people's lives. As increasing conflicts decrease the tolerance of the local people for sloth bears, education and awareness are paramount in sensitizing them to the plight of the sloth bear and instilling a more positive perception towards bears. This can be achieved through outreach programs that disseminate science-based, culturally sensitive

education to school children and adults. For instance, projects like *Aatmavat Sarvabhuteshu* in Gujarat - a joint venture between Vadodara Wildlife Division of Gujarat forest department and Wildlife and Conservation Biology (WCB) Research Foundation, attempts to increase community understanding of sloth bears and improve opportunities for peaceful coexistence (Shalu et al, 2021). Such models of cross-sector collaboration can be implemented in other parts of the state.

Briefing of vulnerable groups can also be done, including workers of crop fields, before every work season about the bear risk and safety issues. This can be achieved by providing true, authentic and scientific information about the species and involving the locals in conservation-oriented work. In an effort to accomplish this goal, a pocket booklet, *Living in the Sloth Bear Landscape*, was published in the Gujarati and English language, and distributed among the villagers (Shalu et al, 2021).

The Vadodara wildlife division and the WCB foundation have jointly created a sloth bear conservation outreach center at Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary, which is the first of its kind in India where state-of-the-art education materials about the ecology and behavior of sloth bears are developed that can be easily understood by local communities and outside visitors alike. (Shalu et al, 2021). A short documentary film *Sloth bear: The bear of the Indian subcontinent* has been made to exhibit in the center (as well as in schools and other social gatherings). Visits to schools and colleges are facilitated to meet youth and build capacity and train people in safety measures. Safety audits may be conducted each year, if feasible, to ensure that all members of the community act responsibly in case of HBC and to facilitate inter-agency cooperation.

Research and monitoring

Besides undertaking in-house research, forest officials should involve the participation of research institutions, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and experts to carry out result-oriented research on the conflict status and mitigation measures. Mapping the bears' foraging, ranging and distribution patterns within human-dominated landscapes can serve as the baseline for conflict mitigation planning. Population dynamics and predictive modelling can aid in managing conflict. The temporal overlap and segregation of human and bear activities can be

monitored. A sloth bear monitoring manual is being developed by WCB as a field guide for the forest staff (Dharaiya, 2018).

Another important task is to monitor potential ‘bears in conflict’, or ‘problem’ bears that pose a grave threat to humans. The decision to declare a bear as a potential ‘bear-in-conflict’ will depend on the behaviour of that bear, the degree of risk to public safety and the proximity of the bear’s activities to human-use areas. If the bear poses an imminent risk to human safety by becoming habituated or food-conditioned and continues to visit human-use areas or shows “offensive” aggression toward humans despite repeated hazing - collective action is taken by a large number of persons to drive the bear back to the forest; it may be captured, relocated or shifted to a captive facility (MoEFCC, 2023).

Forest officials must identify, manage and monitor the movements of such bears within human-dominated landscapes, as a prevention measure, to ensure that they do not lead to emergency situations. Sloth bear populations may be actively monitored, either by sign surveys, using a network of camera traps or by satellite tracking using radio-collars, so that early warnings can be provided to local communities when known bears are entering their areas. Another method of bear population estimation is scat/ hair DNA analysis, which yields precise population estimates. Monitoring the presence of fruit-bearing trees, termite mounds, and natural and man-made water resources should also be done annually.

Field staff management/EWRR system

For effective conflict management, it is important to focus on the capacity building of the forest field staff. Assistance must be provided to the forest department through the organization of field staff training for sloth bear specific rescue, communication system upgrades and enhancing field staff ground mobility.

An Early Warning and Rapid Response (EWRR) system will help enhance the overall efficiency of mitigation efforts in the field. The EWRR system is a set of tools, processes and personnel competencies needed for timely and meaningful generation and dissemination of alert information to communities, for optimal preparedness and responses at the appropriate time, to reduce the likelihood of injury, death or material damage. EWRR structurally includes an HWC

Mitigation Hub/Control Room and a system of three-tiered response teams, viz. Division-Level Rapid Response teams (Division RRTs), Range-Level Rapid Response Teams (Range RRTs) and village-/ward-level Primary Response Teams (Community PRTs) of the local community (MoEFCC, 2023).

A strong institutional mechanism is required, to respond to any emergency situation arising due to HBC. Field support is to be structured around the following key operational stages for the synchronization of activities and meeting the emergency:

- Monitoring and situational awareness
- Mitigation Hub/Control Room/helplines to receive and disseminate information
- RRT/PRT personnel, veterinary team, drugs and equipment, mobility and communication facilities to address emergency situations effectively and efficiently.

The forest department needs to ensure that all response team personnel from forest and other line departments and agencies follow a systematic approach to capacity development. A structured mechanism may be established to deploy competent personnel in the RRTs. Operating procedures, laid down in detail to ensure capacities and capabilities of the various response teams, are also established through training and role clarity. Each response team must be equipped with appropriate and adequate response equipment, personal protective equipment (PPE kits) and rescue vehicles to take immediate action during conflict situations. Regular and systematic specialized training programmes on critical operations such as rescue, capture and translocation should be conducted jointly with other key relevant departments in the form of mock-drills and simulation training sessions. Competencies of members of RRTs must be reviewed on a regular basis, and their training curricula to be fine-tuned and updated regularly. In short, the forest department must follow the MoEFCC 2023 guidelines for HBC.

Economic Responses (Government compensation schemes)

The loss of life or the physical, psychological, social and economic challenges that result from bear attacks need to be recognized. Often, the bodily injuries that arise leave lifelong disabilities, not to mention the emotional and mental trauma that ensues. The medical costs that are incurred

are unaffordable for local communities. For all these financial burdens the government is obligated to provide monetary compensations.

- In HBC hotspots, a revolving fund may be established, at the division-level, to ensure that funds are available to provide immediate relief to the victim's family/heirs. As a result, part of the ex-gratia payment can be made immediately to the victim's family/heirs, and the balance payment made at the earliest.
- In the case of an injury as a result of an encounter with a bear, the victim needs to be immediately hospitalised and ex-gratia payment made as per the norms of the state government.
- Professional counselling through qualified psychiatrists/ health workers will be useful in helping victims recover from the effects of such traumatic incidents. The forest officials and other government agencies/institutions can organise counselling sessions for such victims and support them as they recover from the psychological impact.

Unfortunately, there are instances where the locals are not aware of these financial compensations or are unfamiliar with the process of obtaining them. The lack of literacy and access to banking facilities can pose a hindrance. Hence, it is important to provide the necessary information about government compensation schemes. In general, efforts should be made to simplify the procedures for release of ex-gratia, to facilitate faster payments and ensure timely support to the affected humans. Giving compensations also delineate official records and a database of bear attacks (Dharaiya, 2010). Above all, it gives the victims much-needed financial assistance and helps reduce the hostility towards the bear.

As a consequence of HBC, there can also be crop loss or property damage. The Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare has included crop loss caused by wild animal activity under its flagship scheme *Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY)*, which can be used as an important HBC mitigation instrument. Mobile apps may be used for collecting information and processing claims from farmers, after crop losses from bear activities, to ensure that there is efficiency and transparency in the system. A dialogue may be initiated with the insurance sector

to provide insurance cover for damage due to HBC. The modalities of the programme may vary from place to place according to the assessment of risk by the insurance companies.

Other Mitigation measures:

Forest fringe communities need to employ preventive measures that do not cause any harm to the sloth bears, to deter them from approaching villages, crop fields or orchards. Bears' access to non-natural food sources outside their habitats can be prevented by 'aversion conditioning' and causing fear-stimuli (MoEFCC, 2023) using the following methods:

- The use of traditional wildlife-friendly barriers, including walls and fences, by local communities must be facilitated and their effectiveness monitored. The development of barriers requires a participatory approach from all stakeholders at all stages, i.e. planning, designing, monitoring and maintenance. The engagement of communities is essential for the sustainability of such structures.
- The use of visual deterrents such as flashlights and torches while travelling at night, and flashbulbs and other bright lights in villages will deter bears. In areas where there is no electricity, solar lamps/torches should be provided.
- The use of auditory deterrents, loud noise-creating devices, such as ANIDERS (Animal Intrusion Detection and Repellent Systems), and hooters temporarily keep the sloth bear at bay till a PRT/RRT arrives. One sound making device designed by the WCB team is Ghanti Kathi, a semi-circular surface with nails and a blunt point attached to a wooden stick 2–3 m long (Singh et al, 2018). This device produces sounds while walking and can also be used as a nonlethal defensive weapon against bears.
- The use of olfactory deterrents is very effective with bears, who have an acute sense of smell. Such deterrents include pepper sprays and chili bombs. Cultivating unpalatable aromatic crops (capsicum, chilies, etc.) in kitchen gardens is also effective.
- The use of guard-dogs is helpful in raising an alarm upon sensing the presence of bears near human habitations.

- Pilgrimage management should also be undertaken. Village shrines or small temples that are parts of forested areas are big draws for pilgrims. They carry fruits to leave as offerings which attract bears. Moreover, individuals travelling alone are at risk. So, forest officials need to ensure pilgrims travel in groups to reduce encounters with the sloth bear.
- Since fruit-bearing species such as mahua, ber and guava, and crops such as corn, millets etc. attract bears, local communities residing in HBC hotspots should be encouraged to cultivate alternative crops or find effective and suitable wildlife-proof storage options.
- There is a significant correlation between food availability and bear movement. Regular monitoring of bear movement and feeding patterns by forest field staff can be helpful (Smitha, 2015). Local communities should be encouraged to plant more food species plants such as, Zizyphus, Casia, Madhuca, Ficus, etc. preferred by sloth bears in and around their habitat. This will restrict their movement considerably and be crucial in bringing down instances of such a conflict in the region (Sukhadiya et al, 2013). By giving the animals an ideal habitat that is off limits to people, conservationists hope the bears won't be tempted to wander into fringe villages.

Jessore Sloth Bear Sanctuary, for instance, is testing a variety of solutions. Across the 80 sq km arid reserve, the forest department has created artificial waterholes, constructed sloth bear dens, and translocated termites to feed the animals (Dickie, 2020). Several studies note that such multi-directional approaches – and often, site-specific conservation programmes – may be the only way to build support for a locally-feared animal that faces a multitude of conservation challenges across its range (Perinchery, 2020). Measures must be taken to strengthen the system of knowledge management to ensure that conflict mitigation measures are effective and sustainable. It is essential that field experiences, learnings, field-evidence and conceptual advances are not only shared across key stakeholders but that such knowledge is also documented, to be utilized for future strategies and plans related to conflict mitigation.

Will these measures bring about a decline in bear attacks on humans? Will they help increase human tolerance towards bears? Can they boost the sloth bear population? The answers to these questions can be evaluated through timely checks and surveys. The effectiveness of these

measures will be determined when these strategies are employed in an integrated and consistent manner with regular feedback implemented to achieve optimum results.

Conclusion

The primary goal of conservation of sloth bears in Gujarat is to ensure the harmonious coexistence of humans and bears in perpetuity. HBC hinders the protection of the species and their habitats, posing the greatest challenge to their survival. Furthermore, it raises significant issues for all stakeholders: government policy makers, researchers, NGOs, animal welfare organisations, and communities for whom the repercussions are the most impactful.

Traditionally, approaches to HBC dealt with ecological and human components separately, but for long term strategic benefits, an integrated approach is required for the modification of animal behaviour and human attitudes. A steady source of food and water for the sloth bear, the identification and conservation of ecological corridors, and efficient habitat management will ensure the bear does not trespass into human dominated areas. Meanwhile, field staff competencies can be enhanced through research and monitoring, capacity building and creation of emergency response teams. Ultimately, education, awareness and outreach programs, the fulfilment of fundamental human needs, monetary compensations, and the provision of alternative livelihoods will promote community tolerance and reduce retribution against the species. Cross-sector collaboration and involvement at all levels of policy-making, decisions, and execution is needed for successful conflict management.

Through an in-depth analysis of the connections between ecological drivers and human dimensions, we get a more holistic understanding of the issues and can create a management toolbox that deploys innovative, viable and sustainable solutions for both prevention and mitigation of conflict for humans and sloth bears in shared landscapes and environments.

References

Bargali, H.S., Akhtar N., and Chauhan N.P.S., 2004. *Feeding ecology of sloth bears in a disturbed area in central India*. *Ursus* 15:212_217.

Bargali, H.S, et al., 2005. *Characteristics of Sloth Bear Attacks and Human Casualties in North Bilaspur Forest Division, Chhattisgarh, India*.



https://www.bearbiology.org/fileadmin/tpl/Downloads/URSUS/Vol_16_2/Bargali_Akhtar_16_2_.pdf

Bargali, H.S., Akhtar N., and Chauhan N.P.S., 2012. The sloth bear activity and movement in highly fragmented and disturbed habitat in central India. *World Journal of Zoology* 7:312-319.

BBC News. (2019, Oct 23). *Indian Tiger Poacher Who Ate Sloth Bear Penises Arrested*.

www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-50154673. Accessed 31 May 2023.

<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-50154673>

Chaudhuri, S., Bandyopadhyay, M., Rajaraman, R., Kalyanasundaram, S., Sathyakumar, S., & Krishnamurthy, R., 2022. Spatio-Temporal Patterns and Source-Dispersion Modeling Towards Sloth Bear–Human Conflict Management in Central India. *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, 3, 51.

<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcosc.2022.850309/full>

Chauhan. N.P.S., 2006. *The status of sloth bears in India*. In: *Understanding Asian bears to secure their future*. Pgs 26-34, Japan Bear Network. Ibaraki, Japan.

Dharaiya, Nishith and Ratnayeke, Shyamala., 2009. Escalating human-sloth bear conflicts in north Gujarat: A tough time to encourage support for bear conservation. *International Bear News Quarterly Newsletter of the International Association for Bear Research and Management (IBA) and IUCN/SSC Bear Specialist Group*. Vol. 18 no. 3.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/203236502_Escalating_human-sloth_bear_conflicts_in_north_Gujarat_A_tough_time_to_encourage_support_for_bear_conservation

Dharaiya, Nishith., 2009. *Evaluating habitat & human-bear conflicts in North Gujarat, India, to seek solutions for human-bear coexistence*. Research Project Report I - submitted to the Small Grants Division, Rufford Foundation, London, England, UK.



https://ruffordorg.s3.amazonaws.com/media/project_reports/14.11.07%20Detailed%20Final%20Report.pdf (maps)

Dharaiya, Nishith; Bargali Harendra and Sharp, Thomas., 2017. *Sloth Bear Global Assessment - THE IUCN RED LIST OF THREATENED SPECIES*

Dharaiya, Nishith. (2018, March). *Human Sloth-Bear Conflicts: A Significant Challenge to Conserve the Real Baloo of India*. Managing Bears: Individuals and Populations. 5th International Human Bear Conflicts Workshop, Gatlinburg, Tennessee.

Dharaiya, Nishith.; Bargali, H. S. & Sharp, T., 2020 [amended version of 2016 assessment]. *Melursus ursinus*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species

Dickie, Gloria., 2020. *How to Make Peace with the World's Deadliest Bears*. National Geographic, Animal, News. www.nationalgeographic.com/animals/article/sloth-bears-are-worlds-deadliest-india-human-conflict.

Garcia, Karla C, Joshi, Helly M., and Dharaiya Nishith., 2016. *Assessment of Human–Sloth Bear Conflicts in North Gujarat, India*. BioOne Complete, International Association for Bear Research and Management.

Garshelis, D.L., A.R. Joshi, J.L. D. Smith, and C.G. Rice., 1999. Sloth bear conservation action plan (*Melursus ursinus*). Pages 225-240 in C. Servheen, S. Herrero, and B. Peyton, compilers. Bears: Status survey and conservation action plan. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources/Species Survival Commission, Bear and Polar Bear Specialist Groups, Gland, Switzerland.

Gomez, Lalita, et al., 2021. An Analysis of the Illegal Bear Trade in India. *Global Ecology and Conservation*. Volume 27.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351989421001025>

Gupta, Avni. (2022, June 30). *Understanding Sloth Bear Attacks*. Wildlife SOS. <https://wildlifesos.org/sloth-bears-animals/understanding-sloth-bear-attacks/>

Kaushik, Himanshu. (2022, Jan 5). *Gujarat Bullish on Sloth Bear Numbers*. The Times of India, Ahmedabad.

http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/88699403.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst

Ketting, Jeffrey., 2020. *Social Factors Drive Sloth Bear Conflict in Gujarat an Integrated Interdisciplinary Approach to Human-Wildlife Conflict and Coexistence*.

<https://studenttheses.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/20.500.12932/35533/MSc%20Thesis%20-%20Jeffrey%20Ketting.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Krishnan, M., 1972. An ecological survey of the larger mammals of peninsular India. *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society*.

Malik Arzoo, Proctor Michael, and Dharaiya Nishith., 2018. Human-Bear Conflicts: Use of geospatial techniques to target water sources for sloth bears, aimed at alleviating conflicts with people. *International Bear News*, Fall 2018, vol. 27, no. 344. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330618361_Use_of_Geospatial_Techniques_to_Target_Water_Sources_for_Sloth_Bears_Aimed_at_Alleviating_Conflicts_with_People

Mesaria, Shalu, Gadhvi Dhaval and Dharaiya Nishith, 2021. *Human-Bear Conflicts*. International Bear News. Bearbiology.org. Spring 2021 Vol 30 No1.

Messmer, T. A., 2000. Emergence of human-wildlife conflict management: turning challenges into opportunities. *International Biodeterioration* 45:97-100.

Messmer, T. A., 2009. Human-wildlife conflicts: emerging challenges and opportunities. *Human-Wildlife Interactions*. 3:10-17.

Mewada, T., 2010. *Ecological studies on sloth bear (M. ursinus) with special reference to human-bear conflicts in selected sloth bear habitats of North Gujarat*. Dissertation, Hemchandracharya North Gujarat University, Patan. India.



MoEFCC - Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, 2023. *Guidelines for Human-Bear Conflict Mitigation -Taking a Harmonious-Coexistence Approach*. <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/nadharaiya%40gmail.com/KtbxLthdkCzfvZrcTZKstgNSzNJcqsTMnq?projector=1&messagePartId=0.1>

Murthy R. S., and K. Sankar., 1995. *Assessment of bear-man conflict in North Bilaspur*. Madhya Pradesh Forest Department, Bhopal and Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, India.

Prater, S.H., 1948. *The Book of Indian Animals*. Bombay, Bombay Natural history Society and Prince of Wales Museum.

Perinchery, Aathira., 2020. *Life and Struggles of the Sloth Bear in Human-Dominated Areas*. Mongabay-India. <https://india.mongabay.com/2020/11/life-and-struggles-of-the-sloth-bear-in-human-dominated-areas/>

Puri, Mahi, et al., 2023. *Safe Space in the Woods: Mechanistic Spatial Models for Predicting Risks of Human–Bear Conflicts in India*. Vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 504–516, <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/btp.13204>

Rajpurohit, K. S., and N. P. S. Chauhan., 1996. *Study of animal damage problems in and around protected areas and managed forest in India*. Phase-I: Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and Orissa. Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, India.

Ratnayake, S., F.T. Van Manen, R. Pieris, and V.S.J. Pragash., 2014. Challenges of large carnivore conservation: Sloth bear attacks in Sri Lanka. *Human Ecology* 42:467-479.

Research Outreach., 2021. *How to Behave in Sloth Bear Territory*. <https://researchoutreach.org/articles/how-behave-sloth-bear-territory/>

Satyanarayan, K., 2022. *World sloth bear day: How conservation of the rare Indian bear received worldwide support*. India Times. <https://www.indiatimes.com/explainers/news/world-sloth-bear-day-all-you-need-to-know-about-the-rare-indian-bear-581824.html>

Sharp, T.R., Smith, T.S., Swaminathan, S. et al., 2022. Sloth bear attacks: regional differences and safety messaging. *Sci Rep* 12, 3943. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-07974-y>

Singh, Nisha; Sonone, Swapnil; and Dharaiya Nishith., 2018. Sloth Bear Attacks on Humans in Central India: Implications for Species Conservation. *Human–Wildlife Interactions*: Vol. 12: Iss. 3, Article 5.

Smitha, R., 2014. 'Beary' easy solution to reduce man-bear conflict: By growing more food species plants that bears prefer, the man-bear conflict in Guj can be reduced. <http://epaper.dnaindia.com/print.aspx?id=45376&boxid=352960&valu>.

Smitha, R., 2018. *Gujarat: ISRO Maps out 12 Eco-Corridors to Link Sloth Bear Habitats*. DNA India. <https://www.dnaindia.com/ahmedabad/report-gujarat-isro-maps-out-12-eco-corridors-to-link-sloth-bear-habitats-2638946>

Sukhadiya, Darshan., Joshi J.V., and Dharaiya, Nishith., 2013. Feeding ecology and habitat use of sloth bear in Jassore wildlife sanctuary, Gujarat India. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 40(1):14-18.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255907368_Feeding_ecology_of_Sloth_bear_in_Jassore_Wildlife_Sanctuary_Gujarat_India

The Indian Express. (2021, Dec 11). *Gujarat: Tribal Woman Killed in Sloth Bear Attack*.

<https://indianexpress.com/article/cities/ahmedabad/gujarat-woman-killed-by-sloth-bear-7667600/>

The Times of India, Ahmedabad. (2022, June 15). *Human-Animal Conflicts to Increase*.

https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/human-animal-conflicts-to-increase/articleshow/92217315.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst

The Times of India, Rajkot. (2022, June 5). *Three Injured in Sloth Bear Attacks in Gujarat*.

<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/rajkot/three-injured-in-sloth-bear-attacks-in-gujarat/articleshow/92014724.cms>



The Times of India, Vadodara. (2022, Dec 15). *In Gujarat, Four Injured in Sloth Bear Attack in Dahod.* <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/vadodara/four-injured-in-sloth-bear-attack-in-dahod/articleshow/96240721.cms>

Wildlife SOS. (2020, Nov). *How Sloth Bears Got Their Name.*

<https://wildlifesos.org/chronological-news/how-sloth-bears-got-their-name/>

WWF India. *Bears.* Species in Focus.

www.wwfindia.org/about_wwf/enablers/traffic/resources/species_in_focus/bears/.